

## Submission Form

4 pm on Tuesday 6 September 2022 Send your submission to reach us by

> Submission Number Office use only

Post:	The Chief Executive	or Fax: 0800 884 882	or email: rpschange6@boprc.govt.nz
	Bay of Plenty Regional Council		
	PO Box 364		
	Whakatāne 3158		

Submitter: Tony Wihapi for Ngāti Moko hapū representative on Te Ihu o Te Waka o Te Arawa

This is a submission on Proposed Change 6 (NPS-UD) to the Bay of Plenty Regional Policy Statement

- I could not\* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
- 2 The details of my submission are in the attached table.
- S I wish to be heard in support of my submission.

If other hapu no Te Ihu o Te Waka o Te Arawa make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing

[Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making submission.] [NOTE: A signature is **not** required if you make your submission by electronic means.] Date

Contact person: [Name and Designation if applicable]

Tony Wihapi

Telephone:

Email:

Daytime: 8559

After Hours: 02743651

Address for Service of Submitter:

As per email address above

tonywihapi@gmail.com

Submissions contain personal information within the meaning of the Privacy Act 2020. By taking part in this public submission process, submitters agree to any personal information (including names and contact details) in their submission being made available to the public and published on our website, and for the information collected to be held in accordance with our Privacy Statement available at www.boprc.govt.nz.

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are set out in the following table.

## Tony Wihapi mo Ngāti Moko Hapu SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED CHANGE 6 (NPS-UD) TO THE REGIONAL POLICY STATEMENT

	Where intensive development results in sacred sites having	
	Cultural offsetting must be placed into statutory context for without that context it is mere words.	
	Compliance with the NPSUD requirements means decision making is effectively over and concluded. Implementation is purely a management administrative matter. Governance becomes almost an irrelevancy.	
	Reference to Te Tiriti obligations just doesn't do it. This is a failure in the NPSUD. Proposed Change 6 may be fine for intensive urban environments like Tauranga but not for the Western Bay of Plenty district.	
	Tangata whenua/mana whenua interests are hardly referenced in Proposed Change 6. There are no clear obligations to consult or be involved in decision making. This is a Developers Facilitation model intended to make intensive urban development easier in the WBOPDC area.	
	This situation will only worsen with all the resource management reform pending under the Natural and Built Environments Act (NBEA), Spatial Planning Act (SPA) and the Climate Adaptation Act (CAA).	
	It is not fair to say Tangata whenua consultation has been properly implemented in any real sense when tangata whenua don't fully understand the totality of the changes proposed and their true implications for iwi Māori.	
Strengthening Maori involvement in decision making requiring that all applications be subject to Tangata Whenua Manawhenua assessment for effects and options	example. Tangata whenua need specific technical and independent advice and appropriate resourcing to enable us to produce timely, effective, relevant and appropriate input to these processes.	
The thrust of this submission is withdrawal of WBOPDC from Tier 1 on the basis that it is rural rather than urban in nature. It is not involved in any intensive urban development		WholeChange
	Issue/Comment & rationale	
Recommendation/Relief sought	Position (Support or Seek amendment)	(to p eference

Delete the following paragraph from the explanation text for Policy UG 22B: One of the means of giving effect to these principles is through methods developed in conjunction with tangata whenua to effect the impacts of urban development on culturally significant values, sites or area.		Cultural off setting – explanation text for Policy UG 22B Te Tiriti o Waitangi Principles
	By implementing the NPS-UD, RPS Change 6 is expected to contribute to social, cultural and economic benefits particularly in terms of meeting the government's urban housing objectives. The addition of a new Te Tiriti o Waitangi policy in relation to urban development is expected to clarify the obligations for developers and resource management planning decisions around Te Tiriti o Waitangi principles.	
	It also seeks to (d) identify and protect cultural significant areas and view shafts.	
	New Policy UG 22B goes further by providing for (b) tikanga Māori and opportunities for Māori involvement in Council's decision making processes and (c) enabling early and ongoing engagement with iwi, hapū and affected Māori land trusts and (f) demonstrating how Māori values and aspirations identified during consultation in (c) have been recognised and provided for.	
	Objective 5 and Policy 9 of the NPSUD seek to ensure planning decisions relating to urban environments take into account Te Tiriti o Waitangi principles. The new 'Te Tiriti o Waitangi Principles' policy has a broader focus on planning decisions and encapsulates both urban and rural marae and papakäing. It seeks to ensure planning decisions provide for Te Tiriti o Waitangi principles and expands on the existing Policy UG 22B by seeking to (e) protect marae and Papakäinga from incompatible uses or development and reverse sensitivity effectsand (a) enabling Māori to develop their land, including but not limited to Papakāinga housing, marae and community facilities.' These provisions seek to provide for te Tiriti o Waitangi principle of active protection.	
	Papakāinga including marae-based housing outside urban areas and the urban limits. The operative policy doesn't recognise nor provide for urban marae which have existed for many generations. It is more appropriate to enable Māori land development both inside and outside urban areas.	

Removing this paragraph does not limit the ability for tangata whenua to explore nor propose specific cultural offsetting techniques or measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse cultural effects of the use and development activities or as part of consultation and cultural impact assessments for resource consent applications.	While we acknowledge the project being undertaken by the SmartGrowth Combined Tangata Whenua Forum we are also mindful it must still be developed into a robust framework, tested, consulted on and refined. We prefer waiting for the cultural offsetting project to be completed and consultation undertaken with Te Ihu o te Waka o Te Arawa members to determine whether a level of comfort and support can be reached. Until that time we would prefer the policy explanation does not include the paragraph referencing cultural offsetting.	Cultural offsetting is a novel concept introduced in response to a project being championed by the SmartGrowth Combined Tangata Whenua Forum. It is proposed as a means of addressing cultural effects of urban development. Similar in concept to biodiversity offsetting which has been well established and applied in consents and plan change processes across Aotearoa.
e ability for tangata cultural offsetting or mitigate adverse activities or as part sments for resource	undertaken by the Forum we are also robust framework, efer waiting for the ad and consultation. Arawa members to not support can be policy explanation cultural offsetting.	iced in response to a rtGrowth Combined as a means of lopment. Similar in h has been well in change processes