My Personal Whakapapa Anthony Te Uruhi Wihapi

Anthony Te Uruhi Wihapi

Wihapi Wihapi – He Tuhourangi Moho Wikarena Wihapi - He Tuhourangi He Terewai – He Ngati Rarunga Wi Heketa Wihapi He Tapuika He Ngati Kuri He Tuhourangi Ereatara – He Tapuika Ko Mereana Ereatara -Wihapi – He Tapuika He Ngati Kuri He Nga Potiki Tapuika Te Uruhi Maika Te Rangipuawhe Ko Renati Mita Maika Te Rangipuawhe – He Tuhourangi, He Ngati Whakaue He Tapuika Te Hiahianui Akuhata Ngati Moko Amohau- He Ngati Whakaue Marion Mariao Wihapi - He Tapuika He Ngati Moko He Tuhourangi Te Porokaiwhiria Wepiha – He Ngati Whakaue Manurau Wepiha – He Tapuika Hee Ngati Moko – He Tuhourang He Ngati Whakaue Ruahuihui Heemi He Tapuika

Ko Te Arawa Te Waka
Ko Tapuika Toku Iwi
Ko Ngati Moko Toku Hapu
Ko Rangiuru Te Maunga
Ko Kaituna Te Awa
Ko Wihapi me Wepiha oku
Whanau

Ko Kaituna te Awanui o Tapuika Ko te Awa ko Au Ko Au ko te Awa

- 1. I am the river and the river is me. We are inseparable.
- 2. We are intrinsnically bound together.
- 3. I need the river inasmuch as the river needs me.
- 4. Waiari is a tributary of Te Awanui a Tapuika Kaituna.
- 5. In Maori terms they are considered as part of the river same.
- 6. They too are inseparable one cannot speak of one without the other.

Ko Kaituna te Awanui o Tapuika The First Application is for consent to discharge treated waste water to the Waiari Stream

- 1. The Application is totally offensive to Maori
- 2. The Application offends the Treaty of Waitangi Kaitiakitanga
- 3. The Application constitutes a breach of Treaty
- 4. Refer to the Waitangi Tribunal Report on the Kaituna River 1984
- 5. Copy attached

Ko Kaituna te Awanui o Tapuika The Second Application is for consent to discharge Odorous gases to air arising from the Waste water Treatment Plant

- 1. This Application is totally opposed
- 2. As the Activity is consequent upon the first application being granted
- 3. And as the Original Application constitutes a breach of Treaty
- 4. Refer to the Waitangi Tribunal Report on the Kaituna River 1984
- 5. This application is also a concomitant breach.

Ko Kaituna te Awanui o Tapuika The Third Application is for consent to modify the riparian wetland to install a diffuser pipe to enable diffuse discharge into the Waiari Stream

- 1. This Application is totally opposed
- 2. As the Activity is consequent upon the first application being granted
- 3. And as the Original Application constitutes a breach of Treaty
- 4. Refer to the Waitangi Tribunal Report on the Kaituna River 1984
- 5. This applicant is also a concomitant breach

Ko Kaituna te Awanui o Tapuika The Fourth Application is for consent to the temporary discharge of sidement into the Waiari Stream during installation

- 1. This is Application is totally opposed
- 2. As the Activity is consequent upon the first application being granted
- 3. The Original Application constitutes a clear breach of Treaty
- 4. Refer to the Waitangi Tribunal Report on the Kaituna River 1984
- 5. This application is a concomitant breach

- 1. Te Puke WWTP was constructed in 1986.
- 2. 2 years after the Kaituna River Tribunal Report in 1984.
- 3. Regional Council granted consent to current discharge in 1998
- 4. In clear and total disregard of the Kaituna River Tribunal Report.
- 5. This discharge whilst initially is into the Waiari Stream
- 6. It will at the confluence of Waiari flow into the Kaituna River
- 7. At Maketu it will then flow into the Maketu Estuary
- 8. Known historically as The Te Arawa foodbowl
- 9. And thereby into Te Awa o Ngatoroirangi
- 10.prior to its outflow into the sea at Maketu Surf Life Saving Club

- 1. We find this discharge will be totally offensive to our values tikanga
- 2. It is offensive to our Awa Tupua
- 3. It is offensive to Tapuika Iwi me ona hapu karangarangatanga
- 4. It is offensive to Ngati Whakaue
- 5. It is offensive to Ngati Pikiao
- 6. It is offensive to Te Arawa waka

- 1. The Application reflects a refusal to acknowledge progress
- 2. It is a reactionary application based upon past disproven practice
- 3. Philosophies that have been proven to be wrong and inappropriate
- 4. The current resource consents compound the offence
- 5. There has been no prior opportunity for lwi express our abhorrence
- 6. Up until now. Council must move with the times
- 7. And not act in disregard of the views of Tangatawhenua

- 1. The Consent term sought of 35 years is totally outrageous
- 2. The consent should be terminated forthwith.
- 3. There should be no question of renewal
- 4. Council has had notice since 2013 of the position of Tangata whenua
- 5. The Alternatives should have been much more fully progressed
- 6. The Council has failed to safeguard its own position
- 7. It has done nothing in the last 6 years Smug in its own complacency

- 1. The permitted discharge limit currently consented is 9000m3
- 2. This maximum limit is totally excessive and unnecessary
- 3. Council currently uses only one third of that maximum
- 4. The balance 6000m3 is total reserve only
- 5. Allowing for 30% urban growth to 2045.
- 6. This is scandalous. The limit must be reduced to nil
- 7. Or in the alternative reduced to 3000 m3 immediately
- 8. To give additional time for Council to examine other alternatives

- 1. The New Rock Passage Chamber is a new proposal
- 2. This will replace the existing Wetlands which will be commissioned
- 3. This new underground rock passage will facilitate diffuse discharge
- 4. They effect is the same Treated sewage will still be discharged
- 5. This is the offence. Alternatives must be sought
- 6. The alternatives should have been examined long before now
- 7. The anticipation of a number of upgrades indicates pre determination

- 1. The Alternative Proposals Report It is totally Deficient
- 2. The Alternative Proposals do not meet RMA requirements
- 3. The alternatives are only briefly discussed and is non compliant
- 4. These alternatives shows the amount of time and effort devoted
- 5. Each of these alternatives is totally meaningless
- 6. The proposal to complete a Scheme Feasibility Design Report
- 7. For the 2027 2037 LTP is a total slap in the face to Tangatawhenua

- 1. The Waiari Water Extraction Consent for municipal purposes
- 2. This Resource consent granted in 2010 has not yet been implemented
- 3. This is of particular interest to tangata whenua
- 4. 15% of the total water flow of Waiari will be extracted
- 5. This extraction will take place upstream of the WWTP
- 6. This water will go to Tauranga District Council and Papamoa
- 7. The effects on the Waiari downstream will be disastrous.
- 8. This is Te Arawa water going to Tauranga Moana it is totally unacceptable

- 1. The 15% extraction will have the effect of concentrating the wastewater
- 2. This will be totally appalling to the Waiari Stream and its ecosystem
- 3. Tangatawhenua see a total abuse of this Stream
- 4. At one end the discharge of treated Waste water into Waiari
- 5. At the upstream end the extraction of 15% of the water flow of Waiari
- 6. Council can only have one Discharge or Extraction but not both
- 7. Tangata whenua believe Council should have neither.
- 8. The Supreme Court has acknowleged the interests of lwi in water
- 9. The Waiari Stream is already over allocated By Councils own records

- 1. The Report already acknowledges an impact on the ecological health
- 2. However it then goes on to blame surrounding land users
- 3. The scheme affects water quality and terrestrial ecology
- 4. The reality is that it is the treated waste water
- 5. It is **no longer acceptable** to discharge to fresh water bodies
- 6. Council must join the real world and seek other discharge alternatives
- 7. Tangata whenua insists that these alternatives must be pursued
- 8. And that this Hearing should only consider a limited temporary consent

- 1. This opposition to the application is based upon Cultural Offensiveness
- 2. To discharge human waste to water and into the Waiari is unacceptable
- 3. That discharge by diffusion or otherwise is equally unacceptable
- 4. That discharge into Waiari is discharge into Kaituna River
- 5. That discharge into Kaituna is discharge into Maketu Estuary
- 6. That discharge into Maketu Estuary is discharge into
- 7. Te Awa o Ngatoroirangi and in all instances it is totally offensive

- 1. This opposition to the application is strongly based upon the decision of
- 2. Kaituna River Report of the Waitangi Tribunal 1984 (Copy attached)
- 3. This application flies totally in the face of that decision
- 4. This application totally disregards that decision
- 5. The Tribunal Report considered discharge to be a breach of Treaty
- 6. We refer to the Kaituna River Report 1984 as conclusive.
- 7. We ask that this hearing confirms and upholds that Report
- 8. And that it declines the application

- 1. This opposition to the application is also based upon
- 2. Our Tapuika Environmental Management Plan (Copy attached)
- 3. The Plan was adopted by Council as operative
- 4. Council must have regard to that document
- 5. Insofar as it relates to Environmental Issues affecting Tapuika rohe
- 6. The relevant Chapter 3 Water is essential for our life
- 7. Our relationship with our waterways lies at the heart
- 8. Of our Spiritual and physical well being Page 16
- 9. We have a responsibility to protect the mana and mauri of our waterways

- 1. This opposition to the application is also based upon
- 2. The Tapuika Cultural Impact Assessment Report
- 3. This was prepared for this specific application
- 4. This CIA refers to other jurisdictions
- 5. And refers to Cultural impacts in those jurisdictions upon the Rivers
- 6. Those have the same corresponding impacts within Tapuika
- 7. We refer to the CIA reports completed and seek that those principles
- 8. be incorporated by reference into our Tapuika CIA Report

- 1. This opposition to the application is also based upon
- 2. The Kaituna River Document (Copy attached)
- 3. This document has been adopted by Council
- 4. It is operative as from September 2018. Its principles are binding
- 5. We refer specifically to Objective 3 To restore Water Quality
- 6. And Mauri. fThe Application offends this objective
- 7. Objective 4 To ensure that there is sufficient water quantity
- 8. Objective 5 To ensure that Water is sustainably allocated

- 1. We wish to refer to the Tainui River Document
- 2. This document has been adopted by Council
- 3. This document refers to the Principles and Vision
- 4. In respect of the Waikato River
- 5. Their Vision and Mission Statement
- 6. Which Tapuika fully endorses and supports

- 1. We wish to refer to the Tapuika Claims Settlement Act
- 2. This Act confers upon Tapuika our Statutory Acknowledgements
- 3. And Deed of Recognition as conclusive evidence of our association
- 4. In respect of the Kaituna River and its tributaries including the Waiari
- 5. These Statutory Acknowledgements and Deed of Recognition
- 6. Require that Local and Regional Authorities give and take notice
- 7. in respect of all matters affecting the rohe of Tapuika

- 1. We wish to refer to the Whanganui River Settlement
- 2. This Act confers upon the river an actual legal personality
- 3. This means that the local and regional authorities
- 4. They must treat the river as if it were a legal person
- 5. With rights duties and obligations
- 6. And requires Local and Regional Authorities to treat
- 7. With the Whanganui River Iwi as the representatives of the River