

Submission Form

Send your submission to reach us by 4.00 pm on Wednesday, 18 April

Submitter Name: Crackdock Tarres Ltd

This is a submission on Proposed Plan Change 13 (Air Quality) to the Regional Natural Resources Plan

I could could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. [Delete as required.]

- I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) My submission does/does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

 [Delete the entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition to ough this submission.]
- N The details of my submission are in the attached table. and comes parallely and attached table.
- wishidonet wish to be heard in support of my submission. [Delete as required]
- ω

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. Delete Hyou would not consider presenting a joint case.

[Signature of person making submission or person auth [NOTE: A signature is not required if you make your su	That a state of what it is
orised to sign on behalf of person making submission.] bmission by electronic means.]	するです
Date	S ()
	of person making submission.]

Emall: Telephone: Daytime: のすのいちまなり、丁と 347 0 48L Fax: After Hours:

Contact person: [Name and Designation if applicable]

SUBMISSION POINTS:

Karla Put

Š.	Page No
AGRZI(j) Oppose	Reference (e.g. Policy, Rule, Method or Objective number)
Nosto	Support/Oppose
See attached comes por de	Decision Sought Say what changes to the plan you would like
. *	Give Reasons

227845-R-P-C009

18 April 2018

Bay of Plenty Regional Council PO Box 364 WHAKATANE

Email: air@boprc.govt.nz

Dear Sir/Madam,



SUBMISSION TO PC13 AIR QUALITY TO THE REGIONAL NATURAL RESOURCES PLAN

Our Client, Craddock Farms Limited (Craddock) is a free range poultry operator. Craddock has reviewed PC13 Air Quality to the Regional Natural Resources Plan and provide the following submission points:

a) PC13 does not provide recognition of the existing consented and established free range poultry activities. Rule AQ R21(j) requires that all existing and new free range farming activities would require a Discretionary consent.

The activity status is **Opposed** for the following reasons:

- Discretionary consent is opposed as it requires additional consenting and compliance costs;
- ii) There are limited assessment criteria in PC13 relating to free range poultry activities which results in the applicant having a limited understanding of how any potential consent will be assessed;
- Established activities have known environmental effects and it is unfair to require resource consent for lawfully established activities to require a Discretionary consent that could potentially be refused; and
- iv) Significant infrastructure and investment has been made at this site, and this activity and commitment now becomes uncertain due to Discretionary status.

Decision Sought:

- a) Provide for existing free range activities established at time of PC13 notification as Permitted activities; and
- b) Provide for new free range activities established after PC13 notification as Controlled or Restricted Discretionary activities with appropriate assessment criteria.
- c) Provide robust assessment criteria within PC13 for free range activities to ensure that the relevant effects of free range poultry activities will be assessed.

We attach the PC13 submission form. We wish to be heard at any Hearing.

Please contact us if you wish to discuss further.

Yours faithfully Stratum Consultants Ltd

Karla Putt Senior Planner

Attached: PC13 submission form

CC: Craddock Farms Limited

Planners | Engineers | Surveyors